

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/526,650	,650 01/19/2006		Masanori Kobayashi	50026/050001	4242	
21559	7590	12/01/2006		EXAMINER		
CLARK &	ELBING LI	_P	MAKAR, KI	MAKAR, KIMBERLY A		
101 FEDERA BOSTON, M				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<b>D</b> 001011, 11	02110		•	1636		
				DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/526,650	)	KOBAYASHI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Kimberly A	Makar	1636			
	The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	}		
Period fo	• •			(-) () () ()			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, leading the provision of the pro	ING DATE OF THE 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. ry period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	S COMMUNICATION  tt, however, may a reply be time  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>20 June 2005</u> .	•				
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•					
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the appl	lication.	•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v		sideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
=	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	quirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
,—	The specification is objected to by the E			•			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03/03/05</u> is/are:				•		
	Applicant may not request that any objection						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P1O-18	02.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a)	<ul><li>All b) Some * c) None of:</li><li>1. Certified copies of the priority doc</li></ul>	cuments have hee	received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc			ion No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t				je		
	application from the International			-			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmer	nt(s)		_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	049)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO: mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/13/05</u> .	- <del>34</del> 0)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites the phrase "derived from" which is not clearly defined in the claim nor in the specification. Dos this refer to a neuraminidase that literally comes from (is purified from) a Gram-positive bacterium? Or, an amino acid sequence with 100 % homology to a neuraminidase? If a neuraminidase is derived from an alternate source (ie Gram-negative bacterium) and is mutated to have 100% homology to a Gram-positive neuraminidase, would this then still be considered "derived from"? What if the homology is not 100%, but 95%? 85%? A skilled artisan would be unable to determine the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1636

- 2. Claims 1, 5-10, and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being taught by Bates et al (WO 99/13905) listed in applicants IDS 1449 form dated 07/13/05. Claims 1, 5-10, and 14-18 recite a method for producing a viral vector comprising a membrane protein that binds to sialic acid, comprising the steps of culturing the viral vector in the presence of a neuraminidase derived from a Gram-positive bacterium, and recovering the produced virus (claim 1). The method is further limited wherein the viral vector is a retroviral vector (claim 5) and wherein the retroviral vector is a lentiviral vector (claim 6). The method is further limited wherein the membrane protein that binds to sialic acid is an envelope protein of a single strand negative RNA virus (claim 7), wherein the single strand negative RNA virus is of the Paramyxoviridae or Orthomyxoviridae family (claim 8). The method is further limited wherein the membrane protein is an HA protein from an influenza virus (claim 9). Claims 10 recites a virus produced according to claim 1. Claim 14 recites a virus according to claim 5. Claim 15 recites a virus according to claim 6. Claim 16 recites a virus according to claim 7. Claim 17 recites a virus according to claim 8. Claim 18 recites a vector according to claim 9.
- 3. Bates et al (WO 99/13905) listed in applicants IDS f1449 form dated 07/13/05 teaches a method of for producing Murine Leukemia Viruses (MLV) pseudotyped with influenza A hemagglutinin (HA). Specifically, he teaches the method to produce the pseudotyped viruses in culture (page 24, lines 20- page 25 lines 13). Bates teaches that while the preferred embodiment of the virus is MLV, other retroviruses and lentiviruses are additional embodiments for pseudotyping (page 12, lines 1-5). Additionally, while Bates teaches the production of mutant HA proteins that do not bind

Application/Control Number: 10/526,650 Page 4

Art Unit: 1636

sialic acid, he teaches the production of the wild type influenza HA protein that does bind sialic acid as a control (see figure 3A) and states that the HA molecule is "from influenza A virus and mutants there of" (page 13, line 5). Furthermore, Bates teaches the production of the pseudotyped virus comprising the influenza A HA protein that binds sialic acid by culturing 293 T cells in the presence of neuraminidase derived from *Clostridium perfringens*, a Gram-positive bacteria. Bates teaches the methods of production of, and the viruses derived from the production of those methods. Thus Bates teaches the claimed invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-4, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates et al (WO 99/13905) listed in applicants IDS f1449 form dated 07/13/05 and Luo et al (US Patent No: 5,714,509) in view of Hasegawa et al (US Patent No: 5,268,290). Claims 2-4, 11-13 recite a method for producing a viral vector comprising a membrane protein that binds to sialic acid, comprising the steps of culturing the viral vector in the presence of a neuraminidase derived from a Gram-positive bacterium, and recovering the produced virus (claim 1). The method is further limited wherein the Gram-positive bacterium is an actinomycete (claim 2) of the family of *Micromonospora*

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/526,650

Art Unit: 1636

viridifaciens (claims 3 and 4). Claim 11 recites a virus according to claim 2. Claim 12 recites a virus according to claim 3. Claim 13 recites a virus according to claim 4.

- 6. Bates et al (WO 99/13905) listed in applicants IDS f1449 form dated 07/13/05 teaches a method of producing a viral vector comprising a membrane protein influenza A HA protein that binds to sialic acid, comprising culturing cells producing the vial vector in the presence of a neuraminidase derived from a Gram-positive bacterium and recovering the produced virus (see above). Bates does not teach that the Gram-positive bacterium is an actinomycete *Micromonospora viridifaciens*.
- 7. Luo at al (US Patent 5,714,509) teaches that neuraminidases (also known as sialidases) derived from bacteria are highly homologous, with a sub-group of sialidases comprising *Clostridium perfringens*, *Clostridium sordelli*, *Micromonospora viridifaciens*, and *Salmonella typhimurium* having a very high degree of homology to each other. Luo teaches that this sub-group has sialidases that do not require metal ions for activity, and that the *Salmonella typhimurium* has a structural fold that is the same as the influenza neuraminidase (see column 1, line 44 through column 2, lines 7).
- 8. Hasegawa et al (US Patent No: 5,268,290) teaches a method of producing large volumes of neuraminidase derived from *Micromonospora viridifaciens* (see abstract). Hasegawa teaches that an advantage to his system is that it "produces neuraminidase at lost costs in an industrial scale" and does not require "supplementing any neuraminidase inducer" (Column 1, lines 35 64, particularly lines 45-56).
- 9. A skilled artisan at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the teaching of Bates on a method of producing a viral vector comprising a

Art Unit: 1636

membrane protein influenza A HA protein that binds to sialic acid, comprising culturing cells producing the vial vector in the presence of a neuraminidase derived from a Grampositive bacterium and recovering the produced virus with the teaching of Luo et al, on the high homology of the neuraminidases derived from the sub-group of Clostridium perfringens, Clostridium sordelli, Micromonospora viridifaciens, and Salmonella typhimurium, which has a active site with high homology to the influenza A neuraminidase that do not require inducers, further with the teaching of Hasagawa on a method of producing large volumes of neuraminidase derived from Micromonospora viridifaciens at low cost and without inducers because altering the methodology of Bates by producing the neuraminidase derived from Clostridium perfringens with a neuraminidase derived from Micromonospora viridifaciens would reduce the cost of producing the pseudotyped viruses, and would ensure that the new pseudotyped viruses would still be able to bind the exchange neuraminidase derived from Micromonospora viridifaciens, since it has been shown to have such a high homology to the neuraminidase derived from Clostridium perfringens. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to combine the teaching of Bates on a method of producing a viral vector comprising a membrane protein influenza A HA protein that binds to sialic acid, comprising culturing cells producing the vial vector in the presence of a neuraminidase derived from a Gram-positive bacterium and recovering the produced virus with the teaching of Luo et al, on the high homology of the neuraminidases derived from the subgroup of Clostridium perfringens, Clostridium sordelli, Micromonospora viridifaciens, and Salmonella typhimurium, which has a active site with high homology to the influenza A

Art Unit: 1636

neuraminidase that do not require inducers, further with the teaching of Hasagawa on a method of producing large volumes of neuraminidase derived from *Micromonospora viridifaciens* at low cost and without inducers because altering the methodology of Bates by producing the neuraminidase derived from *Clostridium perfringens* with a neuraminidase derived from *Micromonospora viridifaciens* would reduce the cost of producing the pseudotyped viruses, and would ensure that the new pseudotyped viruses would still be able to bind the exchange neuraminidase derived from *Micromonospora viridifaciens*, since it has been shown to have such a high homology to the neuraminidase derived from *Clostridium perfringens*. Given the teachings of the prior art and the level of skill of the ordinary skilled artisan at the time the instant invention was made, it must be considered that said ordinary skilled artisan would have had reasonable expectation of success in practicing the claimed invention.

#### Conclusion

#### 10. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly A. Makar, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-4139. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/526,650 Page 8

Art Unit: 1636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

kam/11/24/06

PRIMARY EXAMINER